## Marin RCD Permit Coordination Program Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration Summary of Public and Agency Comments

#### **Marin RCD Board Issues and Final Determinations**

Issue	Staff Actions Taken	Board Action Taken
Public participation process	Reviewed issue; proposed	Accepted proposed section
	section added to MND on	
	p. 18	
Use of herbicides	Retained use with added	Accepted with additions
	"extreme circumstances"	
F 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	and NPS language	A . 1 11
Exclude delineated wetlands	Added discussion re NWP	Accepted proposed language and additions
from permit coordination	27 wetland protection to	and additions
program (PCP)	Biological Resources section of Initial Study (IS);	
	excluded language from	
	NWP 27 (i.e., reversion,	
	relocation of wetlands, and	
	mitigation banking); added	
	NWP 13 and NWP 27 as	
	Appendix 4 and 5	
SFBRWQCB approval process	Added to Individual Project	Accepted
and 60-day project approval	Notification to Regulators	
B	on p. 18-19	A 1
Request for 60-day project	Required by SFBRWQCB	Accepted
approval from EAC/SC	and USFWS; language	
Process with NPS and NEPA	added throughout  Contacted NPS about	RCD/NRCS will continue to
compliance for NPS	comment letter	work with NPS on issues
Include work on non-agricultural	Done; fixed language on p.	Accepted
lands	5	recepted
Consistency w/ DFG MOA and	Added disclaimers to	Accepted
other documents	Appendices and in MND	1
Annual inspections for 5 years	Revised MND	Accepted
Agricultural sustainability	Revised language on p. 15 in MND	Accepted proposed language
Ornithologist	Rec. Board discussion	DFG language retained
Landowner anonymity	Rec. Board discussion	Anonymity will be retained
	The Bourd discussion	during review; regulators will
		be supplied with required info.
Include Riparian Buffers as	Rec. Board discussion	Not possible now, but definition
practice		of RB and preference for use
		added as footnote to Filter Strip
Cross reference mit. measures	Added cross references	Approved
Review and approve Final	Board discussion and action	Approved
Determination in IS		
Make <i>de minimus</i> determination	Board discussion and action	Appoved

# Marin RCD Permit Coordination Program Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration

## **Specific Public and Agency Comments**

## San Francisco Bay RWQCB

	Comment	Page in Ltr.	Page in MND	Action Taken by RCD Board
1.	Create appendix annotating practices for PCP	1	App. 3	Created Appendix 3
2.	Specify if "channel" or "waterway" is a stream in table of practices	2	5-10	Done
3.	Grade stabilization structures — riprap	2	6	Inserted suggested language in stream channel stabilization practice (per NRCS)
4.	Grade stabilization structures — limitations on use of rock	2	31	Inserted suggested language
5.	Distinguish grassed & lined waterways from streams	2	7	Done
6.	Spring development	2	8	Inserted suggested language
7.	Re streambank protection, clarify meaning of the word "structures"	2	8	SFBRWQCB language added
8.	Clarify the difference between stream channel stabilization and grade stabilization	2	6-9	Done
9.	Underground outlets	3	9	Inserted suggested language
10.	Fish stream improvement not to exceed 1000 feet	3	10	Inserted suggested language
11.	Grade stabilization structure — clarify width and length measurements, particularly the use of the term "stream length" in this context. The figure of 100 cubic yards of volume seems very large, please explain.	3	11	Address w/ SFBRWQCB and provide sketch of dimensions.
12.	Environmental protection and mitigation measures language to be removed	3	15	Removed language
13.	Coastal Commission should be included as a permitting agency	3	16	Done. The Coastal Commission has been consulted regarding consistency of this program with the Coastal Zone Management Act. Their finding indicates that the projects, which are consistent with the Marin County Local Coastal Program, do not need supplemental review by CCC.

14.	SFBRWQCB process and timing	3	19	Incorporated into MND
	(60-day project approval)			
15.	Temporal Limitations on	4	21	Changed date from October 31 to
	Construction			October 15 throughout
16.	Limitations of Construction	4	23	Inserted suggested language
	Equipment – eqpt. description			
	required			
17.	Limitations of Construction	4	23, etc.	Removed use of gabions, etc.,
	Equipment – gabions, etc.			throughout MND
18.	Limitations of Construction	4	33, etc.	Inserted suggested language
	Equipment – gabions, etc.			
19.	Removal of Plants & Reveg.	4	25, etc.	Inserted suggested language
20.	Sediment Basin	4	7, etc.	Inserted suggested language
21.	Water and Sediment Control	4-5	9, etc.	Inserted suggested language
	Basin			

## **Gulf of the Farallones National Marine Sanctuary (GFNMS)**

	Comment	Page in Ltr.	Page in MND	Action Taken by RCD Board
1.	Notify of all applications	1	16	Added to list of permitters

### **Environmental Action Committee/Sierra Club (EAC/SC)**

	Comment	Page in	Page in	Action Taken by RCD Board
		Appendix	MND	
1.	30-day public review	Ltr.	18	Incorporated into MND
2.	60-day response	Ltr.	19	SFBRWQCB language inserted.
				The approval period for agencies
				will be that of the agency that
				requests the longest window for
				review, currently the SFBRWQCB
3.	Include Riparian Buffers as	Ltr.	6	Adding RB practice is not possible
	practice			now; EAC/SC suggested language
				added, and definition of RB added
				as footnote to Filter Strip.
4.	Cross reference mitigation	1	5-9	Project Description and Mitigation
	measures			Measures need to be separate
				sections in MND per SCC attorney;
				cross-reference to pages in
				Mitigation Measures was added as
				requested.

5.	Filter strip vs. Riparian Forest Buffer (RFB)	1	6	Added footnote definition of Riparian Buffer.
6.	Grade stabilization structure – remove "downcutting channel" and other language at end	2	6	Suggestion not adopted, but significant limitations from SFBRWQCB were added to practice definition.
7.	Sediment Basin – perform upslope erosion control first; include criteria for outlet w/maintenance schedule and regular inspections; build outside RFB.	2	7	Edited and inserted suggested language; significant limitations from SFBRWQCB were added to practice definition.
8.	Spring Development – should not apply to undisturbed springs; make written finding that habitat benefits outweigh livestock benefits.	2	8	Suggestion not adopted, but clarifying language from SFBRWQCB was added to practice description
9.	Streambank Protection	2	8	Added EAC/SC suggested sentence and SFBRWQCB language
10.	Stream Channel Stabilization	2	8	Added EAC/SC suggested language re plantings and new language
11.	Structure of Water Control	2	9	Added suggested language and NRCS sizing information.
12.	Underground Outlets	2	9	Added suggested sentence per this and SFBRWQCB comments.
13.	Water and Sediment Control Basin	3	9	Added SFBRWQCB requirements and NRCS sizing information; did not include "nor will it be used within riparian or wetland buffers"
14.	Agricultural sustainability	3	15	Approved language
15.	Include "most restrictive" conditions apply language	3	15	Added suggested language here and other places; used disclaimer on Appendices
16.	NPS should be partner agency	3	15-16	Process and NEPA compliance to be worked out with NPS by RCD and NRCS; not included with "regulators" but is included on p. 1 as agency whose approval is required

		1 _	T . =	
17.	Addition to step 7 re written	3	17	Retained NRCS language; optimal
	finding optimal practices			practice will be selected
18.	Project notification	4	15-16	Changed language as suggested;
				used RWQCB notification
-				language
19.	Ornithologist	4	21	DFG language retained
20.	Limits on Earthmoving	4	21	New language added
21.	Non-invasive grasses	4	22, etc.	New language added that only
				allows non-native grasses under
				special circumstances with
				regulator approval
22.	Historic fishery	4	23	Language added
23.	Gabions	4	23	Removed sentence throughout
				MND
24.	Control upstream sources	4	23, etc.	New language added
25.	Hydroseeding, etc.	4	24	New language added
26.	Grasses	4	24, etc.	New language added; see #21
				above
27.	Removal of exotics required	4	25	Original language retained because
	rather than recommended?			there are times when leaving
				exotics will result in less adverse
				impact than removal (i.e., where
				removal of exotics requires the use
				of herbicides or would result in
				destabilization of a potentially
20		_	26	erosive area).
28.	Annual inspections should be 5	5	26	5-year monitoring incorporated
20	not 2 years	-	20	into MND
29.	Pesticide regulations near	5	28	No pesticides are allowed in the
	salmon bearing creeks			program; no herbicides may be
				used where threatened or endanger
20	Domestica land	5	20. ata	species occur
30.	Remove gabion lang.		29, etc.	Done throughout
31.	Organic fertilizer; rip. forest buffer	5	28, etc.	Suggested language added
32.	Address upstream sediment	5	7, 23,	SFBRWQCB language added to
	sources before removal of		36, etc.	Sediment Basin practice description
	sediment			and in special conditions on
				sediment basins. "Measures to
				control upslope sediment sources
				will be implemented where feasible
				and access allows." added in this
				section.
33.	Inspections by any requesting	5	34	Original language retained. Added
	agency			that if any agency requests a site a
				visit, it will be coordinated with

				NRCS and RCD staff or their
				designated representatives.
34.	30-day notice should be 60-day	5	15, etc.	Changed throughout MND
35.	Consistency	5		Checked throughout MND
36.	Remove "recreation"	5	A-2	Disclaimer added to back cover;
				added annotated Appendix 3

## Thomas G. Baty (TGB)

	Comment	Page in	Page in	Action Taken for RCD Board
		Letter	MND	Evaluation
1.	Apply practices to non-	1	5	Removed "agricultural"
	agricultural land			
2.	Critical Area Planting	1	5	Added suggested language
3.	Sediment Basin – question re	1	7	Addressed with SFBRWQCB
	deposition on bottomlands			language
4.	Water and Sediment Control	2	9	Addressed with SFBRWQCB
	Basin			language
5.	Broader protection of wetlands;	2	45 and	Added language in IS Botanical
	exclude work in "delineated"		App. 5	Resources and NWP 27 (annotated)
	wetlands			as Appendix 5
6.	Other unnamed watersheds	2	13	Added suggested language
7.	Pesticides, etc.	2	28-29	Removed throughout
8.	Wetland impact determination	3	37, 45	Changed determination to "Less
				Than Significant Impact"; added
				text to Initial Study discussion and
				Appendix 5 annotations
9.	Pipeline	3	App. 1-	Added disclaimer and annotated
			A p. 3	Appendix 3
10.	Net benefit/gain lang.	3	17	Language added to NRCS
				Planning Process
11.	Assurance of agency review	4	15-16	Added RWQCB language
12.	Pre-design oversight by	4	15-16	Addressed by SFBRWQCB
	regulators			
13.	Public participation	4	18	Section added
14.	Landowner anonymity	4	general	Anonymity will be retained during
				review; regulators will be supplied
				with required information

### **National Park Service (NPS)**

	Comment	Page in	Page in	Action Taken by RCD Board
		Ltr.	MND	
1.	Is NEPA compliance satisfied by	1	general	RCD/NRCS will continue to work
	MND?			with NPS on NEPA issues
2.	RCD/NRCD commit to early	2	18	Approved
	NPS participation in project			
	planning			

3.	Specific conditions should be in	2	28-37	Project Description and Mitigation
	descriptions of practices			Measures must be separate sections
				in MND per SCC attorney; cross-
				references to pages in Mitigation
				Measures were added as requested
				by EAC/SC
4.	Gabions, etc.	2	passim	Removed throughout
5.	Herbicides	2	passim	Incorporated NPS language
6.	NPS species not included	2	39	Added to species list
7.	Develop process for	2	general	RCD/NRCS will continue to work
	implementation on public lands			with NPS on implementation
				process
8.	Encourage means of public input		19	Public review section added